

Audit Progress Report

Gateshead Council

April 2019





CONTENTS

- 1. Audit progress**
- 2. National publications**

This document is to be regarded as confidential to Gateshead Council. It has been prepared for the sole use of the Audit and Standards Committee. No responsibility is accepted to any other person in respect of the whole or part of its contents. Our written consent must first be obtained before this document, or any part of it, is disclosed to a third party.

1. AUDIT PROGRESS

Purpose of this report

This report provides the Audit and Standards Committee with an update on progress in delivering our responsibilities as your external auditors.

Audit progress

Our 2018/19 audit work is continuing however overall we are on track.

Details of work completed and on-going are shown below.

- held internal planning meetings as part of our planning process for the 2018/19 audit;
- held update meetings with finance officers in respect of planning for the 2018/19 interim and final audit visits;
- completion of walkthroughs of key information systems: there are no significant issues arising to bring to the attention of Members however some internal control recommendations have been identified and will be reported to management.
- completion of auditor's IT risk assessment: including testing of IT general controls (e.g. logical access and change management); Work is ongoing in this area. If appropriate, we will report any significant issues identified to Members.
- early testing: we have carried out early testing of income and expenditure transactions, with no significant issues arising. We have also carried out other early testing where possible e.g. testing of journals and opening balances.
- early testing: Property, Plant and Equipment. Work is on-going in this area; this is a risk area where successful early work is key to achieving the accounts deadline.
- value for money (VfM) significant risk testing – level of savings required over the period of the Medium-Term Financial Strategy; this work is on-going.
- On-going review of agendas and minutes.

Our work is on track, and there are no significant matters arising from our work to report to you at this stage.

Final accounts workshop

As in previous years, we held a final accounts workshop for local government bodies, designed to help ensure the final accounts process goes as smoothly as possible. The local workshop was held in January 2019 and finance officers from the Council attended the event, which was free of charge.

2. NATIONAL PUBLICATIONS

	Publication/update	Key points
National Audit Office (NAO)		
1.	Local auditor reporting in England 2018	Main findings reported by auditors in 2017/18.
2.	Local authorities - governance	Consideration of VfM and financial sustainability in local authorities.
Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA)		
3.	Local quality audit forum	December 2018 forum slides available online.
4.	Oversight of audit quality, quarterly compliance reports	No significant issues.
Chartered Institute of Public Finance & Accountancy (CIPFA)		
5	Scrutinising Public Accounts: A Guide To Government Finances, CIPFA, November 2018	An overview of the different processes for budgeting and performance reporting.
6	Streamlining the Accounts: Guidance for Local Authorities, CIPFA, January 2019	The publication covers a range of issues relating to streamlining both the financial statements and the accounts closure processes.

2. NATIONAL PUBLICATIONS

1. Local auditor reporting in England 2018, NAO, January 2019

Since 2015, the Comptroller and Auditor General (C&AG) has been responsible for setting the standards for local public audit, through maintaining a Code of Audit Practice and issuing associated guidance to local auditors.

The report describes the roles and responsibilities of local auditors and relevant national bodies in relation to the local audit framework and summarises the main findings reported by local auditors in 2017-18. It also considers how the quantity and nature of the issues reported have changed since the C&AG took up his new responsibilities in 2015, and highlights differences between the local government and NHS sectors. The report highlights a number of points as summarised below.

- Auditors gave unqualified opinions on financial statements in 2015-16, 2016-17 and 2017-18. This provides assurance that local public bodies are complying with financial reporting requirements. As at 17 December 2018, auditors had yet to issue 16 opinions on financial statements, so this does not yet represent the full picture for 2017-18.
- Auditors qualified their conclusions on arrangements to secure value for money at an increasing number of local public bodies: up from 170 (18%) in 2015-16 to 208 (22%) in 2017-18. Again, as at 17 December 2018, auditors had yet to issue 20 conclusions on arrangements to secure value for money, so this number may increase further for 2017-18. This level of qualifications reinforces the need to ensure that local auditors' reporting informs as much as possible relevant departments' understanding of the issues facing local public bodies.
- Auditors qualified their conclusions at 40 (8%) of local government bodies. The proportion of qualifications was highest for single-tier local authorities and county councils where auditors qualified 27 (18%) of their value for money arrangements conclusions. The qualifications were for weaknesses in governance arrangements, often also highlighted by inspectorates' ratings of services as inadequate.
- More local NHS bodies received qualified conclusions on arrangements to secure VfM than local government bodies. In 2017-18, auditors qualified 168 (38%) of local NHS bodies' conclusions; up from 130 (29%) in 2015-16, mainly because of not meeting financial targets such as keeping spending within annual limits set by Parliament; not delivering savings to balance the body's budget; or because of inadequate plans to achieve financial balance. The increase between 2015-16 and 2017-18 is particularly steep at clinical commissioning groups, with qualifications for poor financial performance increasing from 21 (10%) in 2015-16 to 67 (32%) in 2017-18.
- Local auditors are using their additional reporting powers, but infrequently. Since April 2015, local auditors have issued only three Public Interest Reports, and made only seven Statutory Recommendations. These Public Interest Reports have drawn attention to issues such as unlawful use of parking income, governance failings in the oversight of a council-owned company, management of major projects or members' conduct. Auditors have made Statutory Recommendations in relation to failing to deliver planned cost savings, poor processes for producing the annual financial statements and failure to address weaknesses highlighted by independent reviews.
- A significant proportion of local bodies may not fully understand the main purpose of the auditor's conclusion on arrangements to secure value for money and the importance of addressing those issues. 102 local public bodies were contacted where auditors had reported concerns about their arrangements to ensure value for money:
 - half of the bodies (51) said that the auditor's report identified issues that they already knew about;
 - fifty-seven (95%) of those responding said they had plans in place to address their weaknesses but only three were able to say that they had fully implemented their plans; and
 - twenty-six (25%) did not respond at all to the NAO's request.
- The extent to which central government departments responsible for the oversight of local bodies have formal arrangements in place to draw on the findings from local auditor reports varies. Processes in the relevant central government departments differ. The Department of Health & Social Care, NHS Improvement and NHS England have arrangements in place to monitor the in-year financial performance of local NHS bodies, and use information from local auditor reports to confirm their understanding of risks in the system. The Home Office and Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government consider the output from local auditors' reports to obtain a broad overview of the issues local auditors are raising, but there is a risk that these two departments may be unaware of all relevant local issues.

2. NATIONAL PUBLICATIONS

1. Local auditor reporting in England 2018, NAO, January 2019 (continued)

Under the current local audit and performance framework, there is no direct consequence of receiving a non-standard report from the local auditor. Before 2010, a qualified value for money arrangements conclusion would have a direct impact on the scored assessments for all local public bodies published by the Audit Commission at that time. While departments may intervene in connection with the issues giving rise to a qualification, such as failure to meet expenditure limits, there are no formal processes in place, other than the local audit framework, that report publicly whether local bodies are addressing the weaknesses that local auditors are reporting.

A list of all local bodies that received a non-standard local auditor report for 2017-18 was published alongside the report.

<https://www.nao.org.uk/report/local-auditor-reporting-in-england-2018/>

2. Local authorities - governance, NAO, January 2019

The NAO has recently published a report on local authority governance, which examines whether local governance arrangements provide local taxpayers and Parliament with assurance that local authority spending achieves value for money and that authorities are financially sustainable.

The report finds that local authorities have faced significant challenges since 2010. For example, they have seen a real-terms reduction in spending power of 29% and a 15% increase in the number of children in care. These pressures raise the risk of authorities' failing to remain financially sustainable and deliver services.

The way authorities have responded to these challenges have tested local governance arrangements. Many authorities have pursued large-scale transformations or commercial investments that carry a risk of failure or under-performance and add greater complexity to governance arrangements. Spending by authorities on resources to support governance also fell by 34% in real terms between 2010-11 and 2017-18, potentially increasing the risks faced by local bodies.

In 2017-18, auditors issued qualified VFM arrangements conclusions for around one in five single tier and county councils. A survey, carried out by the NAO, of external auditors indicates that several authorities did not take appropriate steps to address these issues.

Some external auditors have raised concerns about the effectiveness of the internal checks and balances at the local authorities they audit, such as risk management, internal audit and scrutiny and overview. For example, 27% of auditors surveyed by the NAO do not agree that their authority's Audit Committees provided sufficient assurance about the authorities' governance arrangements. Auditors felt that many authorities are struggling in more than one aspect of governance, demonstrating the stress on governance at a local level.

Some authorities have begun to question the contribution of external audit to providing assurance on their governance arrangements. 51% of chief finance officers from single tier and county councils responding to our survey indicated that there are aspects of external audit they would like to change. This includes a greater focus on the value for money element of the audit (26%). External auditors recognise this demand within certain local authorities. However, their work must conform to the auditing standards they are assessed against and any additional activity may have implications for the fee needed for the audit.

The report also finds that MHCLG does not systematically collect data on governance, meaning it can't rigorously assess whether issues are isolated incidents or symptomatic of failings in aspects of the system. MHCLG recognises that it needs to be more active in leading co-ordinated change across the local governance system. The report recommends that MHCLG works with local authorities and other stakeholders to assess the implications of, and possible responses to, the various governance issues identified. It should examine ways of introducing greater transparency and openness to its formal and informal interventions in local authorities and should adopt a stronger leadership role in overseeing the network of organisations managing key aspects of the governance framework.

<https://www.nao.org.uk/report/local-authority-governance-2/>

2. NATIONAL PUBLICATIONS

3. Local Audit Quality Forum, Public Sector Audit Appointments, December 2018

The Local Audit Quality Forum (LAQF) is a forum within which representatives of relevant audit bodies can work together and collaborate with others to share good practice and strive to enable improvements in the quality, efficiency and effectiveness of audit arrangements and practices in principal local authorities and police bodies in England. PSAA wants to develop a momentum and a passion for continuous improvement in audit arrangements throughout the entities and sectors for which PSAA has a mandate.

Slides of the Manchester December 2018 event are available on the PSAA website as per the link below.

The theme of the Manchester event was financial resilience and sustainability, a major challenge for all local authorities and police bodies in the current climate and a key strategic concern as bodies prepare 2019/20 budgets and update medium term plans. The event explored:

- the nature and scale of the sustainability challenges facing local bodies;
- the strategies and disciplines which can help to address them successfully; and
- the roles and responsibilities of Chief Finance Officers and Auditors in helping to maintain resilience and sustainability.

<https://www.psa.co.uk/local-audit-quality-forum3/local-audit-quality-forum/>

4. Oversight of audit quality, quarterly compliance reports 2017/18, Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd

There are no significant issues arising in the latest quarterly compliance report issued by PSAA.

<https://www.psa.co.uk/audit-quality/contract-compliance-monitoring/principal-audits/mazars-audit-quality/>

5. Scrutinising Public Accounts: A Guide To Government Finances, CIPFA, November 2018

This guide provides an overview of the different processes for budgeting and performance reporting in central and local government and health bodies and includes key questions to ask when scrutinising government financial statements using examples based on UK public sector accounts.

This publication, which is only available online, should assist members fulfil their role scrutinising the financial statements effectively.

<https://www.cipfa.org/policy-and-guidance/publications/s/scrutinising-public-accounts-a-guide-to-government-finances>

2. NATIONAL PUBLICATIONS

6. Streamlining the Accounts: Guidance for Local Authorities, CIPFA, January 2019

CIPFA has released its publication on Streamlining local authorities' accounts. The publication covers a range of issues relating to streamlining both the financial statements and the accounts closure processes and includes information provided by local authorities who are already driving change in this area. These local authorities report that clearer and shorter financial statements that are code compliant, can be prepared to a high standard, with a reduction in the time and resources required to complete them.

The publication is split into 2 parts as follows:

Streamlining financial statements

This involves streamlining the presentation of financial statements by ensuring that local authorities have identified the needs of the users of the financial statements and that financial statements convey key messages clearly, concisely and efficiently. In this part of the publication, CIPFA considers three aspects of streamlining the presentation of local authority financial statements and these include:

- *materiality* - using materiality to avoid key messages in the financial statements being obscured by excessive detail;
- *accounting policies* - reviewing accounting policies so that only relevant information is disclosed; and
- *presentation and layout* – considering the presentation of the financial statements so that the layout is such that it allows readers to navigate through the statement and focus on key messages.

Streamlining year-end closure

In the publication, CIPFA summarises the key elements to streamlining the accounts closure process as effective planning and project management – focusing on what is important and starting the process early to promote a “right first time” culture.

CIPFA has also included several examples of good practice in the publication, but also notes that these examples should be tailored to each individual authority's circumstances.

Members may wish to familiarise themselves of CIPFA's suggestions for streamlining the accounts to assist their scrutiny of the financial statements.

https://www.cipfa.org/~media/files/policy%20and%20guidance/panels/local%20authority%20accounting%20panel/streamlining_guidance_pre_publication_version.pdf?la=en

CONTACT

Partner: Cameron Waddell

Phone: 0191 383 6300

Mobile: 0781 375 2053

Email: cameron.waddell@mazars.co.uk

Manager: Jim Dafter

Phone: 0191 383 6300

Mobile: 07815 876 042

Email: jim.dafter@mazars.co.uk